

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/568,334	NAGATANI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DAVID HUANG	2611	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DAVID HUANG

(2) Pedro Fernandez

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David Huang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:15

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called for restriction requirement of the claims. Applicant elected Group I over the phone. Examiner also pointed out potential §112, 2nd paragraph issues, but applicant requested an office action with the rejections to have more time to consider and amend the claims..